



# IQCP

## How We Can Help Our Customers

andy quintenz

**BIO-RAD**

BIO-RAD LABORATORIES - QUALITY SYSTEMS DIVISION

A background image showing a group of people smiling, with a green curved line separating the header from the main content.

# Agenda

- How We Got Here
- IQCP Requirements & Timeline
- Laboratory Response
- Manufacturer Response



# FDA & Quality Control

- Quality control (QC) ... monitors the analytical performance of that test system. It may monitor the entire test system or only one aspect of it.
- FDA regulates the *material or mechanism* as a medical device; it does not monitor how a QC component is used within a laboratory (i.e. how often other QC types should be run, or whether the QC replaces other, more traditional external types of QC).
- FDA makes sure that products have QC materials, reviews labeling for accuracy, and determines if manufacturers have protocols to ensure stability.
- How a QC component is used within a laboratory is not within FDA's jurisdiction. Other Agencies, such as of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the College of American Pathologists (CAP), or the Joint Commission Accrediting Hospital Organization (JCAHO) have jurisdiction over the procedures and practices within laboratories.

<http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/ivdregulatoryassistance/ucm123682.htm#11>



# ISO Guidance

- ISO 15189:2004  
Validation of user quality control procedures  
by the manufacturer
- ISO 14197:2012  
Application of risk management to medical  
devices



# How Much QC?

- CLIA regs mandated min. performance
  - Typically: 2 levels / day
- No QC rules or other frequency guidance
- CMS: ‘it was supposed to be the floor’
- Batch testing era
- CLIA 2003: Equivalent QC
  - Intended to allow for advances in technology
  - Heavy push by POCT
  - Allowed for weekly or monthly QC under certain conditions



# Equivalent QC

- Scientific validity questioned
  - Intense debate
- CLSI stepped in to develop guidance practice for QC frequency
  - Laboratory QC Based on Risk Management
  - Presentation of a Manufacturer's Risk Mitigation Information for Users of In Vitro Diagnostic Devices



# EP23 and CMS

- CMS proposed EP23 as new requirement
  - No objective criteria for determining QC frequency
- Morphed into EP23 based requirements
  - Individualized Quality Control Plan
- Narrative:
  - How one might go about creating one
  - Components & Elements of IQCP
  - No objective criteria for determining QC frequency



# IQCP Timeline

- Jan 1, 2014
  - Begin Educational Phase
  - May start IQCP any time
  - No citation unless “serious quality problems” exist
- Jan 1, 2016
  - End Educational Phase
  - End Equivalent QC





# Accrediting Organizations

- COLA      adopting with no modifications
- TJC        adopting, details not released
- CAP        ‘evaluating’

AABB, A2LA, AOA, ASHI      to be determined

# Mandatory IQCP Components

- 3 Phases
  - Pre, analytic, post
- 5 Elements
  - Environment, Personnel, Specimen, Test System, Reagents
- 3 Parts
  - Risk Assessment, Control Plan, Quality Assurance
- ...For QC Frequency

A background image showing a group of people smiling, with a green curved line separating the header from the content area.

# Labs Are Asking for Help

- Little to no experience in FMEA / RA
- Staff overwhelmed
- Where to begin?
- Templates?
- How to objectively make decisions?



# Where Labs Need Help - RA

- IQCP provides overview of process
  - No details
  - EP23 \$50 - \$170 - Companion Products extra
- Risk Assessment for lab processes still new
  - Manufacturer resources
  - Process mapping and hazard identification
- Unsure what instruments internal checks do
- Fairly subjective guidance runs counter to lab's need for black / white answers



# Where Labs Need Help - QCP

- No guidance on:
  - Format
  - What is acceptable data to support decision
    - For Risks
    - For control activities
    - For QC frequency
- Do Manufacturer's have recommended QC checks?



# Where Labs Need Help - QA

- When reviewing the process, what should lab look for other than blatant failures?
- If I have no complaints from clinicians, is everything okay?
- How do I review my post-analytic process?



# Manufacturer Response

- Good News:
  - No new mandated responsibilities
  - Lab-centric regulation
- Bad News:
  - Labs want information that runs counter to our own RA policies



# What We Can Do

- Remind labs: non-waived testing under CLIA certificate or AO equivalent
- Recommend: focus on POCT with EQC
- Template guidance documents:
  - Process Map for analytical phase
  - QC Plan based on IFU



# Provide 'Objective'ness

Table 3. Risk Acceptability Matrix

<i>Probability of Harm</i>	<i>Severity of Harm</i>				
	<i>Negligible</i>	<i>Minor</i>	<i>Serious</i>	<i>Critical</i>	<i>Catastrophic</i>
<i>Frequent</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>
<i>Probable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>
<i>Occasional</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>
<i>Remote</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>unacceptable</i>
<i>Improbable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>	<i>acceptable</i>



# 'Objective' Matrix

- 1 failure
  - pre / post analytic phase tends to affect one patient
  - cartridge testing tends to affect on patient
  - could affect multiple patients

Common Terms	Possible Description
Frequent	Once per week
Probable	Once per month
Occasional	Once per year
Remote	Once every few years
Improbable	Once in the life of the measuring system

# 'Objective' Matrix

- 1 failure
  - pre / post analytic phase tends to affect one patient
  - cartridge testing tends to affect on patient
  - could affect multiple patients

Common Terms	Possible Description
Frequent	More than 1 in 1000 tests
Probable	Between 1 / 1000 and 1 / 10,000 tests
Occasional	Between 1 /10,000 and 1 / 100,000 tests
Remote	Between 1 /100,000 and 1 / 1,000,000 tests
Improbable	Less than 1 in a million tests

# 'Objective' Matrix

Common Terms	Possible Description
Catastrophic	Results in patient death
Critical	Results in permanent impairment or life-threatening injury
Serious	Results in injury or impairment requiring professional medical intervention
Minor	Results in temporary injury or impairment not requiring professional medical intervention
Negligible	Inconvenience or temporary discomfort

- Guide labs to look at outcome as most likely to occur under normal use.
- Goal: Patient Risk. If a failure occurs that is highly likely to be caught or won't cause harm...

# Where Labs Need Help - RA

 **ELECTRONIC TEMPLATE**

**EP18/EP23 TEMPLATE**



**CLINICAL AND  
LABORATORY  
STANDARDS  
INSTITUTE®**

## Sources of Failure Template

A failure modes and effects analysis table may be used as a checklist or as a tool to help identify potential failures in a testing system. These potential failures are then addressed by an appropriate quality assurance program.

### 1. Sample Collection

Potential Source of Failure				
Step or Component in Which Failure Occurs	Failure	Cause	Effect	Reference Documentation
		1.1 Contamination		
		1.1.1 Alcohol		
		1.1.2 Other		

*EP23 –A – Template*  
*CLSI. Wayne, PA*

# Template IQCP

## Famous Hospital Laboratories

PT/INR Quality Control Plan  
Effective Date: October 1, 2011  
Laboratory Director: Sarah Ryan, MD

1. Electronic Controls:
  - a. Controls shall be run on each Instrument once every eight hours.
2. Liquid-based QC Samples:
  - a. Analyze two levels of QC samples before and after each change in reagent lot. Do not use QC that was shipped with the reagent being tested.
  - b. Analyze two levels of QC after each calibration.
  - c. Analyze two levels of QC samples at least weekly.
3. Proficiency Testing:
  - a. Participate in a proficiency testing program two times per year.
4. Maintenance:
  - a. Clean the Instruments after each use with alcohol wipes, following the Instructions in the user's manual.
  - b. Check the **laboratory** refrigerator monitors daily.
  - c. Check the room temperature monitors in the **outpatient clinic** daily.
5. Training:
  - a. Nurses and laboratory technicians—document proper training for:
    - I. Sample collection
    - II. Sample placement on reagent test strip
    - III. Testing procedures
    - IV. Cleaning procedures
    - v. Documentation of results
  - b. Receiving personnel—document proper training for:
    - I. Checking the condition of the cold packs upon receipt
    - II. Procedure to follow when cold packs are not present or are no longer cold
    - III. Procedure for storing of the reagents

From  
Risk  
Assessment

*Boilerplate IQCP with  
information extracted from  
Instructions from Use /  
Package Inserts?*

EP23 –A – WB  
CLSI. Wayne, PA



# The Future..

- Standard practice in labs by 2025?
- Risk based approach to QC
  - What is the likelihood a failure will occur?
  - How many patients could it affect?
  - Can my lab recover from that failure?
  - Can my lab absorb that financial impact?





# In Summary

- Phase out EQC by Jan 1, 2016
- TJC / CAP requirements still unknown
- Template documents would be helpful
- Labs cannot use mfr data exclusively
- Labs cannot use mfr IQCP as-is
- Reformatting IFU into QC Plan steps – helpful





# Thank You

**BIO-RAD**

BIO-RAD LABORATORIES - QUALITY SYSTEMS DIVISION