



U.S. Food and Drug Administration
Protecting and Promoting Public Health

www.fda.gov

Companion Diagnostics Update and Co-Development

**2014 AMDM Annual Meeting
Bethesda, MD
April 10, 2014**

Jennifer Shen, Ph.D., RAC
Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH)
Office of In Vitro Diagnostics and Radiological Health (OIR)



Overview



- **Companion Diagnostic (CDx) Test Performance Evaluation (analytical and clinical)**
- **Review Issues and Challenges in CDx**
- **What Does It Take To Create A Good Submission**



FDA Review for CDx

- **Intended Use / Indications for Use**
- **Device Description (platform, software)**
- **Pre-analytical**
- **Analytical Performance**
- **Clinical Performance**
- **Instrumentation, Software validation (as applicable)**
- **Labeling (package insert)**

Molecular Test – Analyte Source

Specimens Types

- Whole blood
- Plasma
- Buccal swab
- Tumor Tissue
- Urine

Unique Challenges for Each Specimen Types

- Stability
- Sources of interference
- Intra-biological variability





Test Performance Evaluation

Analytical Performance

- Does my test measure the analyte I think it does? Correctly? How reliably?

Clinical Performance

- Does my test result correlate with target condition of interest in a clinically significant way?



Analytical Performance: Goals

- **Test = Specimen → Result (validate all steps)**
- **Pre-analytic steps are part of assay**
 - e.g., DNA/RNA extraction, bisulfite modification
- **Validation with each specimen type**
- **All studies should follow protocol in labeling**
- **Studies should demonstrate robustness at clinical cut-off, as needed**



Clinical Performance: Goals

- **Determine how the device will be used in clinical setting and ensure study design is appropriate**
- **Study design should support the Intended Use**
- **Consider possible confounding co-variables**
- **Pre-specified clinical and statistical analysis plan**
- **Establish clinical performance of device compared to an endpoint or appropriate surrogate**
- **Analytical validation precedes clinical validation**



Overview



- Companion Diagnostic (CDx) Test Performance Evaluation (analytical and clinical)
- **Review Issues and Challenges in CDx**
- What Does It Take To Create A Good Submission



| CDx Test Performance* | Issues and Challenges |
|---|-----------------------|
| Accuracy | |
| Precision: Repeatability, Reproducibility | |
| Sample Preparation: Pre-analytical | |
| Performance Around the Cut-off | |
| Stability | |
| Clinical | |

*not a comprehensive list



| CDx Test Performance* | Issues and Challenges |
|---|---|
| Accuracy | No comparators No specimens for rare alleles |
| Precision: Repeatability, Reproducibility | Not enough specimens |
| Sample Preparation: Pre-analytical | Lots of variability |
| Performance Around the Cut-off | Difficult to get specimens |
| Stability | Specimens may lack stability |
| Clinical | Clinical Trial Assay (CTA) Extremely low prevalence |

*not a comprehensive list



CDx Challenges - Specimens

Each claimed specimen requires analytical validation

- Tissue type (e.g., blood, bone marrow, tumor, urine)
- Tissue collection (e.g., tissue block, fine needle aspiration, special collection devices)
- Tissue collection reagents (frozen vs. FFPE; anticoagulants; preservatives)

Inability to re-test specimens

- Informed consent issues
- Poor quality samples, insufficient samples, missing samples



Solutions - Specimens

Select one specimen type

- Specify the specimen type in the trial
- Specify the processing steps as well as volume, cell/tumor proportion, so that validation requirement is limited to these specifics
- Capture protocol deviations
- Consider whether it is possible to get paired specimens at time of collection
- Collection devices needed to be labeled for IVD use, or bring them in with the PMA submission



Solutions - Specimens

Bank samples from all patients evaluated for trial enrollment

- Test negative and test positive
- Obtain adequate sample volumes for retesting
- Consider policies in foreign countries
- Ensure Informed consent documents cover the testing
- Consider impact of storage on analytes
- Plan ahead to conduct stability testing of the samples by comparing different time points to baseline results

CDx Challenges - Clinical Trial Assays (CTA)

- CTA is not the final CDx intended for marketing
- Multiple tests used in registrational trial
- Pre-screening at local testing sites
- Mid-trial changes
- Missing outcome in CTA negative population

| Clinical Trial | CTA | CDx | |
|----------------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| Not Enrolled | Negative | Negative | |
| | Negative | Positive | → Missing outcome |
| Enrolled | Positive | Negative | |
| | Positive | Positive | |



Solution - Bridging Studies

- Statistical Plan that takes into account discordance, missing samples and impact on drug efficacy
- Re-test population should be representative of the intended use population for the device
- Re-analysis of the trial for effectiveness of device is potentially biased if subset not representative



Solution - Bridging Studies

- Plan to analyze worse case scenario for missing data with sensitivity analysis
- Plan to assess available sample representativeness and incorporate into an analysis plan
 - ✓ Identify variables that have effects on the test result
 - ✓ Identify variables that can impact therapeutic outcomes
- Predictive claims: the device should demonstrate a differential therapeutic treatment effect on clinical outcome(s) (e.g., overall survival)



Other Approaches to CDx Challenges

- Intended Use that limit risks
- Use of cell lines to supplement analytical studies
- Allow composite comparators for accuracy
- Unique statistical approaches
- Limitations in labeling / package insert



Overview



- Companion Diagnostic (CDx) Test Performance Evaluation (analytical and clinical)
- Review Issues and Challenges in CDx
- **What Does It Take To Create A Good Submission**



Strategy For A Good Submission

- **Guidance Documents**
- **FDA CDx and PMA Databases**
- **The Pre-submission Program**
- Excellent Organization
- Defensible Intended Uses
- Thorough Explanations of the Technology
- Adequate Description of the Studies
- Completeness of the Validation Studies



Guidance Documents

In Vitro Companion Diagnostic Devices

<http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm262292.htm>

Design Considerations for Pivotal Clinical Investigations for Medical Devices

<http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm265553.htm>

Statistical Guidance on Reporting Results from Studies Evaluating Diagnostic Tests

<http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm071148.htm>

OIR Guidance

<http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm070274.htm>



FDA CDx & PMA Databases

Summaries of Validation Studies for FDA Approved CDx Devices are Publicly Available

- **CDx and Drug Co-approvals**

<http://www.fda.gov/CompanionDiagnostics>

- **PMA Summary of Safety and Effectiveness (SSED) and Labeling (Package Insert)**

<http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMA/pma.cfm>



The Pre-Submission Program

Provides free feedback on your submission protocols and clinical study

- A mechanism to obtain FDA feedback on future submissions, e.g., 510(k), PMA, HDE, IDE
- A formal written request from a sponsor for FDA feedback
- An opportunity to ask questions and conduct discussions prior to pre-market submissions
 - Regulatory pathway
 - Clinical or analytical study protocol review

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM311176.pdf>



Sponsors Deserve Recognition

- Excellent Organization
- Fast and Timely Responses
- Willingness to Explore New Statistical Strategies
- Open Dialogue on Assay Performance
- Interactive Labeling Discussions
- Patience





Thank you!

Questions?

Jennifer.Shen@fda.hhs.gov

Feel Free to Contact Us

Office of In Vitro Diagnostics and Radiological Health (OIR)

- Division of Immunology and Hematology (DIHD)
- Division of Microbiology (DMD)
- Division of Chemistry and Toxicology Devices (DCTD)
- Division of Radiological Health and Mammography Quality Standards
- Personalized Medicine Staff
- <http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/centersoffices/officeofmedicalproductsandtobacco/cdrh/cdrhoffices/ucm115904.htm>